Comprehensive Plan Issues

Character Area: Neighborhood Activity Center

<u>Description</u>: A neighborhood focal point with a concentration of activities such as general retail, service commercial, professional office, higher-density housing, and appropriate public and open spaces uses easily accessible by pedestrians and bicycles.

<u>Development Strategy</u>: Each neighborhood center should include a mix of retail, services, and offices to serve neighborhood residents' day-to day needs. Residential development should reinforce the center by locating higher density housing options adjacent to the center, targeted to a broad range of income levels, including multi-family town homes, apartments, and condominiums. Design for each Center should be very pedestrian-oriented, with strong, walkable connections between different uses. Road edges should be clearly defined by locating buildings at roadside with parking in the rear. Direct connections to greenspace and trail networks should be provided. The pedestrian-friendly environment should be enhanced by adding sidewalks and other pedestrian-friendly trails/bike routes linked to other neighborhood amenities such as libraries, neighborhood centers, health facilities, parks, and schools.

Goals and Policies:

<u>GOAL 7: LAND USE</u> – To ensure the community's anticipated growth occurs in a well-integrated yet organized fashion, which protects our community resources, promotes efficient use of infrastructure and transportation facilities, and supports quality economic development

POLICY 7.4 – Positive impacts on the built and natural environment shall be anticipated through only the highest standard of development throughout all parts of the community.

POLICY 7.7 – Commercial development of varying sizes shall be encouraged at the intersections of major roadways.

Standards for the Exercise of Zoning Power (Review Criteria)

In reviewing and making a decision on a rezoning request, the City staff, Planning Commission and City Council shall consider the following standards. The proposed responses to these standards by the applicant and staff are listed below.

	iowing standards. The proposed responses to these standards by the applicant and stan are listed below.
(1) Whether a proposed rezoning will permit a use that is suitable, in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby property.	
Applicant:	The proposed development provides a transition from the commercial growth along the SR-133 corridor with the existing residential area further south
Staff:	The proposed zoning changes are more intensive than the adjacent "non-PMD" properties, but are very compatible with approved PMD zoning master plan that remains on the other adjacent properties.
(2) Whether a proposed rezoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property.	
Applicant:	Rezoning of the proposed development should have no adverse impact on the usability of nearby properties.
Staff:	No adverse impact.
(3) Whether the property to be affected by a proposed rezoning has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned.	
Applicant:	The property cannot be developed as it is currently zoned since the PMD designation previously adopted does not allow for development unless it follows the exact site plan as previously designed.
Staff:	No. The subject property is locked-in to the approved PMD master plan and it cannot feasibly be developed "independently" from the adjacent PMD properties.
(4) Whether the proposed rezoning will result in a use that will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools.	
Applicant:	The proposed development will be phased to provide development in a logical pattern and to not add a burden to existing streets, utilities or schools.