GREATER LOWNDES PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES 325 WEST SAVANNAH AVENUE Monday, February 28, 2022 – 5:30 PM

GLPC Commission Members Present: Franklin Bailey, Johnny Ball, Vicky Biles, Calvin Graham, Robert Jefferson, Steve Miller, Vicki Rountree (Chairperson), Debbie Sauls, Chris Webb, Chip Wildes

GLPC Commission Members Absent: Ed Hightower, Tommy Willis

Staff: Matt Martin, City of Valdosta/Hahira Planning & Zoning Administrator; JD Dillard, Planning & Zoning Director; Trinni Amiot, Lowndes County Planner (Clerk)

VISITORS PRESENT:

(Sign-In sheet available in file.)

CALL TO ORDER, INVOCATION, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Rountree called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. and welcomed everyone to the GLPC meeting. Chairperson Rountree explained that the Planning Commission serves as an advisory (recommending) body to the local member governments regarding land use requests, and the final determination of the requests presented at this meeting will be made by the applicable local governments. Chairperson Rountree explained the meeting procedures and announced the dates of the public hearing for the local member government, as listed on the agenda.

Chairperson Rountree asked Commissioner Bailey to lead the Pledge of Allegiance followed by the Invocation.

Agenda Item #2

Approval of the Meeting Minutes: January 31, 2022

Chairperson Rountree called for additions, questions, and corrections of the January 31, 2022, GLPC meeting minutes. There being none, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Commissioner Bailey made a motion to approve the January 31, 2022, meeting minutes as presented. Commissioner Jefferson second. All voted in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #3

HA-2022-01 Marvin Brooks Construction LLC 506 & 510 West Lawson Street - Special Exception for Duplexes in an R-10 zoning district

On behalf of Lee Walker, Marvin Brooks Construction is requesting a Special Exception (SE) for a residential duplex in a Residential (R-10) zoning district. The subject property totals 0.28 acres located at 506 & 510 West Lawson Street, which is along the north side of the road immediately east of North Newsome Street. The property currently consists of two (2) small vacant lots with frontage along West Lawson Street (0.26 acres total), as well as the southerly 9' of an adjacent parcel to the north, which contains an existing single-family residence (all of the parcels are

currently under the same ownership). The applicant is proposing to recombine all of this subject property (about 12,000 sf+) into a single parcel of land, in order to construct a proposed duplex residential building which totals 2,400 square feet heated space (1,200 sf per dwelling). The applicant is also seeking some building setback variances for this proposed duplex building (see next agenda item - file #HA-2022-02).

The subject property is located within a Neighborhood Activity Center (NAC) Character Area on the Future Development Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

The subject property consists mainly of the two (2) small vacant lots which are each about 6,000-sf in land area. This is less than the minimum required 10,000-sf per lot required in R-10 zoning. However, these lots were created several decades ago, and they are each considered a "legal nonconforming lot of record". As such in R-10 zoning, they are each entitled to have one (1) single-family residence (minimum 1,000-sf heated area) on them, provided these meet minimum building setback requirements. Unfortunately as currently configured, each of these lots would have only about 19' of depth to the buildable area (inside the setbacks) unless some setback relief variances were granted. This is perhaps one reason why these particular lots were never previously developed. Now, in lieu of seeking minor setback variances and building two (2) small houses on these small lots, the applicant is instead proposing to build one (1) duplex on the combined lots together. The net total of dwelling units is the same under each scenario.

In spite of the R-10 zoning on all sides of the property, the surrounding area is very sparsely developed. There is only one single-family residence (same owner) to the north, and one single-family residence on the corner lot to the east — but facing the opposite direction. All of the residential lands to the west and to the south, are vacant and still wooded. These is still a fairly remote part of the City where the final development pattern has yet to be fully established. The NAC Character Area prescribes higher density residential development, and the property's one block distance from the commercial corridor of W. Main Street and the industrial zoning along Hall Street also support the basis of the proposed argument. A proposed duplex on a combined parcel that exceeds the R-10 minimum requirements, should be considered compatible with all of these patterns. It would also hopefully serve as a catalyst for other compatible new development in the area.

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: Find the Special Exception consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and the Special Exception review criteria, and recommend approval to the Hahira City Council, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Combine all of the depicted subject property into one parcel of land.
- (2) All parking shall be off-street on private property and located to the sides of the duplex building. Driveways for each dwelling unit shall be paved and be of sufficient size to hold at least two automobiles entirely on private property. (No parking in the grassed front yard of the property).
- (3) Special Exception approval shall expire after one (1) year from the date of approval, if no replat has been recorded and building permits for the duplex issued by that date.

Commissioner Bailey had questions regarding the depth of the front yard setback and the location of parking.

Speaking in favor of the request:

Marvin Brooks

Mr. Brooks stated he is the contractor representing the applicant and offered to answer any questions the Commissioners may have. He stated the intent of the development is for rental property.

No one spoke against the request.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Graham to recommend approval of the request as presented, including the conditions. Commissioner Wildes second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #4

HA-2022-02 Marvin Brooks Construction LLC 506 & 510 West Lawson Street -Variance to minimum building setback requirements in R-10 zoning

On behalf of Lee Walker, Marvin Brooks Construction is requesting a variance to Section 6-1 of the Hahira Zoning Ordinance as it pertains to minimum building setback requirements in an R-10 zoning district. The subject property totals 0.28 acres located at 506 & 510 West Lawson Street, which is along the north side of the road immediately east of North Newsome Street. The property currently consists of two (2) small vacant lots with frontage along West Lawson Street (0.26 acres total), as well as the southerly 9' of an adjacent parcel to the north which contains an existing single-family residence (all of the parcels are currently under the same ownership.). The applicant is proposing to recombine all of this subject property (about 12,000-sf+) into a single parcel of land, in order to construct a proposed duplex residential building which totals 2,400 square feet heated space (see Special Exception request # HA-2022-01 in the previous agenda item). The applicant is proposing to place the duplex several feet forward toward the south property line (West Lawson Street) such that the minimum front yard building setback would be reduced to

35' from the centerline of West Lawson Street, instead of the required 60'. This equates to a front yard setback distance of only 10' from the front property (right-of-way) line. The applicant is proposing this front yard encroachment in order to preserve the full 30' required setback from the newly-established rear (northerly) property line.

The applicant's proposed replat would take the southerly 9' of the same owner's property to the north, but still leave at least 10' of side yard setback distance from that neighboring single-family residence to the proposed new property line. This same 9' area would then be used to maximize the total land area of the combined new lot to the south (the subject property) to a total of a little more than 12,000-sf in area. This is more than minimum 10,000-sf lot size required for R-10 zoning. However, even with the larger lot area, the depth of this new lot (north-south) would still only be about 84'. Minimum front and rear yard setback distances in R-10 total 65', and this would leave only 19' of buildable depth within the lot – which is far less than what is needed for a customary house or duplex. There is no issue with the side yard setback distances, but relief from the front or the yard setbacks (or both) is certainly warranted in this situation.

In spite of the R-10 zoning on all sides of the property, the surrounding area is very sparsely developed as described in the Special Exception request (previous agenda item). There are no buildings fronting the south side of West Lawson Street, and the only existing building fronting the north side is the existing residence on the lot to the east – facing North Hall Street. This residence is setback only about 2'-3' from the West Lawson Street right-of-way line and is situated on an even more narrow lot (north-south) than the subject property. The applicant is therefore proposed a very substantial setback Variance for the front yard, reducing from 35' to 10', while maintaining the minimum required 30' rear distance in the north.

Given the overall lot pattern of the area, staff believes the proposed front yard reduction to 10' is a little too extreme for an R-10 area, even one that is largely undeveloped. Staff would instead prefer to see a comfortable reduction in BOTH the front and rear yard setbacks to make this situation work, and give the applicant some added flexibility in locating the structure within the lot.

Staff Recommendations:

Find proposed reductions in both the minimum front/rear setback requirements <u>consistent</u> with variance review criteria, and recommend <u>approval</u> to the City Council for a reduced front yard setback of 15' from the property line, and a reduced rear yard setback of 20', with the condition that any street-facing garages or carports on the property be setback a minimum of 30' from the right-of-way line.

No one spoke in favor of nor against the request.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Graham to recommend approval of the request as presented, Commissioner Biles second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #5

REZ-2022-04 Radney - Long Pond Road, 5761 Long Pond Road, 0221A 063C,

1 acre, R-10 to R-10 (No Conditions)

The motivation behind this request is to remove the existing conditions from the previous rezoning case (REZ-2019-09) and divide the northern parcel into two $\frac{1}{4}$ acre lots for the purpose of building "three spec homes at approximately 2,000 sq. ft. within the next 12-18 months."

The approved conditions from the 2019 rezoning case are as follows:

- 1. Modular or mobile homes are not allowed;
- 2. Duplexes are not allowed:
- 3. The lots shall not be divided past the two (2) lots requested by the applicant

Access to and from the subject property is off Long Pond Road and Dykes Pond Road, both County maintained local roads. Concerning the Comprehensive Plan Future Development Map, the subject property is within the Urban Service Area and depicted as in a Suburban Area. Per Comprehensive Plan guidance R-10 zoning is listed as a permitted zoning district within a Suburban Character Area.

In addition to the above information the following factors should also be considered: 1) the adjacent R-10 zoning to the north, west and east of the subject property, 2) the R-1 zoning adjacent to the southwest, 3) the R-15 zoning to the southeast, and 4) the proximity of County water and sewer service.

Staff found the request overall consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and the TRC and had no objectionable comments.

Commissioner Miller asked for clarification as to which conditions would be removed.

Speaking in favor of the request:

Jake Howell

Mr. Howell stated he is the realtor representing the owner. He continued that the only condition his client would like removed is #3, which prohibits dividing the lot.

Speaking against the request:

- Donna Weldon
- Emily Traficante
- Richard Vodde
- Glenn Gregory

Ms. Weldon stated she is the President of the Long Pond Association and is against the removal of all the conditions. She stated the neighborhood doesn't want duplexes and would rather see really nice houses.

Commissioner Bailey asked if the problem with the request was a possible placement of a duplex or substandard home and that if the only condition removed was subdividing the lot. Ms. Weldon replied yes.

Ms. Traficante lives across the street from the subject property and stated this rezoning requested has already been argued. She stated traffic is an issue and that any house to be placed should be the same level as existing homes.

Mr. Vodde stated the intersection is already dangerous and development would increase that, causing more accidents. Speeders are on the road, it's used as a cut-through, there are no sidewalks and residents walking are generally in the road. Additional development is not wanted.

Mr. Gregory stated he is against duplexes, an increase in traffic, any additional development will be a hazard to people.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Wildes to recommend approval of the request as with the following conditions:

- Modular or mobile homes are not allowed;
- Duplexes are not allowed;
- The northern lot (Lot 1) may only be split once into an R-10 conforming lot for the purpose of building a 2,000 s.f. heated single-family home.

Commissioner Bailey second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #6

REZ-2022-05 Lakeland Highway, Lakeland Highway, 0184 054, 5.3 acres, E-A to R-A

This request represents a change in zoning on the subject property from E-A (Estate Agricultural) zoning to R-A (Residential Agricultural) zoning. The general motivation in this case is for the applicant to divide ~5.3 acres into two equal parcels for single family homes, and in order for the parcels to remain in conformity with the ULDC, a change in zoning would be necessary.

The property has been split, combined, and reconfigured several times through both survey and deed, and is currently divided into two tracts; the Northeastern tract (the subject property) being \sim 5.3 acres, and the Southwestern tract being \sim 5.86 acres. The proposed subdivision of the Northeastern tract would create two 2.66 acre tracts, while the Southwestern tract would remain intact.

The subject property is within the Rural Service Area and part of the Agricultural Character Area, and possesses road frontage on Lakeland Highway and Old State Road. Per Comprehensive Plan Guidance, R-A zoning is a recommended classification in an Agricultural Character Area, and is consistent with the low-density land use patterns of the area.

The TRC reviewed the application and has no technical objections.

Speaking in favor of the request:

Jake Howell

Mr. Howell stated he is the realtor representing the owner and offered to answer any questions the Commissioners may have.

No one spoke against the request.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Wildes to recommend approval of the request as presented, Commissioner Jefferson second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #7

CU-2022-01 Burke Batten, 4955 Inner Perimeter Road, CUP for Sales & Storage of commercial trailers in C-H zoning, and CUP for Self-Storage Facility and Outdoor Sales & Display in the IPR-COD

Mr. Burke Batten is requesting a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for sales and storage of commercial trailers in a Highway Commercial (C-H) zoning district, as well as a CUP for Self-storage facilities and Outdoor sales & display of merchandise in the Inner Perimeter Road Corridor Overlay District (IPR COD). The subject property consists of 9.22 acres located at 4955 Inner Perimeter Road, which is along the east side of the road, about 1,000 feet north of US Highway 84. This is the same property and applicant that was the subject of a rezoning request last fall (file #VA-2021-17). The property is currently vacant and uncleared, and the applicant is proposing to develop this property as part of a commercial trailer & storage container business.

The subject property is located within a Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area on the Future Development Map of the Comprehensive Plan. The property is also located within the City's Inner Perimeter Road Corridor Overlay District (IPR-COD).

The subject property was part of the very large "Brayland" tract of land which was annexed in the late 1980's. At that time, it was given a zoning pattern that was based on an overall master plan for development which never materialized. About 15 years ago, the Brayland tract was subdivided and auctioned off into smaller tracts. Many of the existing zoning boundaries did not follow the new parcel lines. The subject property was one of those subdivided parcels which ended up with split zoning; in this case R-P and C-H. In preparation for making this CUP application "eligible" for consideration, the R-P portion was rezoned to C-H so that all of the property would be consistently eligible.

The applicant is proposing a mixed-commercial form of development, with many of the uses being at the upper end of the intensity scale for commercial development, and approaches that of light industrial development. This area along Inner Perimeter Road is still mostly undeveloped, however there are some light industrial uses nearby to the south of US 84, as well as some intensive commercial uses 1-2 miles to the north (such as Ace Electric). At staff's suggestion and as evident on the proposed conceptual site plan, the applicant is proposing to mitigate any negative appearance of the proposed intensity of uses by completely shielding the interior of the site from Inner Perimeter Road and also the surrounding properties. The only portions that will

be plainly visible will be the office building and customer parking area at the front entrance. The mini-warehouses and the extensive outdoor storage area will not be visible. As part of the overall site, each of these uses will still need to follow their own LDR supplemental standards as well as the general requirements of the IPR-COD and LDR or seek approved variances as necessary. Because this is a unique combination of commercial uses with a still unknown development pattern on the surrounding properties, there should be careful consideration of the CUP conditions of approval.

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: Find consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Conditional Use Review Criteria, and recommend approval to the City Council, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Approval shall be granted in the name of the applicant only, as owner/manager of the business, for a mixed commercial development that includes self-storage mini-warehouses, outdoor rental storage for recreational vehicles, as well as other storage and staging area for the applicant's trailer and storage unit business.
- (2) Site development shall be in general accordance with the conceptual site plan, which includes preserving the natural evergreen trees and other vegetation around the perimeter of the site, so that the site's interior is not very visible from Inner Perimeter Road or adjacent properties. The site's internal layout and pavement design for maneuverability and Fire Dept. access shall be at the discretion of the City Engineer and Fire Marshal.
- (3) Conditional Use approval shall expire after 2 years from the date of approval if no building permit and site development plans have been approved by that date.

Speaking in favor of the request:

Burke Batten

Mr. Batten stated he is the applicant and went into further detail regarding the project. He stated he is amenable with the proposed conditions.

Commissioner Miller had questions regarding any limit on units.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Wildes to recommend approval of the request as presented, including the conditions. Commissioner Webb second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #8

CU-2022-02 Bemiss Development Partners, 4036 Bemiss Road, CUP for Self-storage facility in C-C zoning

Mr. Trey Taylor, on behalf of Bemiss Development Partners LLC, is requesting a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a Self-Storage Mini-Warehouse facility in a C-C zoning district. The subject property consists of 5.57 acres located at 4036 Bemiss Road, which is along the east side of the road directly across from the intersection with Cat Creek Road. This site is the former Winn Dixie grocery store and shopping center. The applicant is proposing to convert the vacant larger building (former grocery store - 46,946 sf) into a climate-controlled self-storage facility. The

applicant's conversion will be in the form of interior remodel and only a minor amount of cosmetic changes on the outside, and with no expansions to the building. The applicant is also proposing to utilize the rear and side service areas next to this building, as an outdoor storage rental area for the keeping of recreational vehicles, etc. This area will be accessed via 6' tall security gates next to the front corners of the building. The other smaller building on the site (4,420 sf) will continue to remain as a multi-tenant regular commercial building available for lease.

***This is the same property that was the subject of the exact same CUP request last summer (2021), under a different applicant name, which was denied by City Council (4-3 vote) (file #CU-2021-01). The applicants from last summer are from the Atlanta area and they have now joined with a local development partner. On January 20th, they requested and obtained special permission from the City Council to submit a new CUP request for this property before the required 12-month waiting period expires. There are no changes from the previous CUP request, except for the name and composition of the applicant.

The subject property is located within a Neighborhood Activity Center (NAC) Character Area on the Future Development Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

The subject property is part of a well-established commercial corridor along Bemiss Road, with a wide range of existing commercial uses in the vicinity. The former grocery store has been vacant now for a couple years and staff is not aware of any attempts to re-occupy this with another grocery store or other large retailer. Although the conversion of this large commercial building in a viable commercial area, to this kind of use may seem "less than ideal", it does effectively reuse the large vacant building into something productive. It also leaves the remaining portions of the near-empty shopping center open for commercial occupancy and redevelopment with additional smaller buildings. The only concern with the proposal is the proposed outdoor storage area being adjacent to the vacant residential property to the east, which is already planned for a 125-lot subdivision and whose preliminary subdivision plat has already been approved. It is very important that this proposed outdoor storage area be screened and buffered from this future subdivision as much as possible, and that the intensity level of this storage be kept reasonable. The LDR contains supplemental standards for Mini-Warehouses as well as Outdoor Storage (see page 4), and these should be followed as they are applicable to the existing site. Unfortunately, the original buffer yard vegetation has vanished and there is insufficient space between the rear maneuvering aisles and the property line to allow a conventional buffer yard width. However, tall opaque screening with an appropriate amount of evergreen trees within this existing non-paved perimeter area should suffice.

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: Find consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Conditional Use Review Criteria, and recommend approval to the City Council, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Approval shall be granted for a self-storage mini-warehouse business in the existing main building only, and with accessory outdoor storage in the side and rear yard areas behind the front wall of this existing main building only.
- (2) The outdoor storage area shall be enclosed on the sides and rear by a minimum 8' tall privacy fence. The fence portions facing the south and west shall be permanently opaque and solid. The fence portions facing the north and east shall either be permanently opaque

and solid, or instead have an added mesh screen for temporary opacity to allow time for the vegetation to grow and fill-in. A landscaped strip between the existing pavement edge and the property line, shall be planted and maintained along the sides and rear of the outdoor storage area, with landscaping that consists of a minimum 6 small trees and 2 canopy trees per 100 linear feet as approved by the City Arborist. All trees shall be evergreen variety.

- (3) Outdoor storage items shall be limited only to motor vehicles and customary recreational vehicles such as boats and campers and their associated trailers. All outdoor items shall be operative and in good working condition, and neatly parked at all times. There shall be no heavy trucks or equipment, or other materials allowed.
- (4) Conditional Use approval shall expire after 3 years from the date of approval if the proposed use has not received an official Certificate of Occupancy (non-temporary) from the City by that date.

The Commissioners had questions regarding the previous denial by Valdosta City Council, and fencing/buffering.

Speaking in favor of the request:

Trey Taylor

Mr. Taylor stated he is the applicant. The storage units are proposed to be climate controlled. He stated his company contacted 34 grocery stores, seeking any interest in the building and all 34 refused. The plan for remodeling is to use locally sourced financing and construction.

No one spoke against the request.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Wildes to recommend approval of the request as presented, including the conditions. Commissioner Bailey second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #9

VA-2022-01 Ashley Skipper, 2317 Bemiss Road, Rezone 0.45 acres from R-P to O-P

Ms. Ashley Skipper is requesting to rezone 0.45 acres from Residential Professional (R-P) to Office Professional (O-P). The subject property is located at 2317 Bemiss Road, which is at the SE corner of Bemiss Road and Randolph Street. The property contains an existing professional office building (2,023 sf) and both front/rear parking lots with a total of 9 parking spaces. There are no proposed changes to the building or the site, except for some minor interior remodeling. The applicant is requesting the O-P zoning in order to make the property eligible for a proposed Personal Services Shop, and other possible uses such as a yoga studio and an "esthetics" training academy. The applicant's proposed Personal Services Shop would require a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) approval in O-P zoning and this would be reviewed under its own application at a later date – if this rezoning request is approved.

The subject property is located within a Neighborhood Activity Center (NAC) Character Area on the Future Development Map of the Comprehensive Plan which allows the possibility of O-P zoning.

The subject property is part of the "low intensity" stretch of the Bemiss Road corridor between the more intensive commercial nodes of the Castle Park shopping center and the Northside Drive intersection areas. This portion of the corridor is characterized by mostly professional offices and multi-family development, and is reflected on the maps by the NAC Character Area. Most all of the properties in the portion of the corridor are zoned R-P, which allows both the professional offices and high-density residential uses. None of the properties in this corridor are currently zoned O-P. Therefore, this particular Rezoning request gives the impression of "spot zoning" which is often contrary to good land use policy and gives us PAUSE when considering it. Staff was initially opposed to this request because of the spot-zoning nature and it introducing a new zoning classification to the surrounding zoning.

However, in considering the overall nature and history of the Bemiss Road corridor and the overlapping similarities of R-P and O-P zoning, staff is now viewing the request more positively. The only significant differences between these two zoning districts is that R-P zoning allows multifamily development and O-P does not, and that O-P zoning allows a limited few more uses than R-P - such as banks, which R-P does not. O-P zoning also adds a few uses with a CUP approval, such as a commercial-sized daycare center, pharmacy, and the more intensive kind of Personal Services Shops (which the applicant is ultimately proposing). Therefore, even the introduction of a lot of O-P zoning to this corridor would still not have very much effect on its low-key non-commercial nature. And to take the thought process even further, it should be remembered that Bemiss Road is a 5-lane State highway that functions as an arterial roadway with high traffic volumes. In this regard, O-P zoning should certainly be considered interchangeable with the surrounding R-P pattern, which some could argue is a little bit under-zoned.

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: Find consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Standards for the Exercise of Zoning Power (SFEZP) and recommend approval of O-P zoning to the City Council.

Commissioner Bailey asked if this would be a conditional use in the future; Mr. Martin replied yes.

Speaking in favor of the request:

- Ashley Skipper
- Christy Moore

Ms. Skipper stated she is the owner of the business and went into detail regarding her work and the future plans for the business.

Ms. Moore stated she was there as a character witness for Ms. Skipper.

No one spoke against the request.

There being no further discussion, Chairperson Rountree called for a motion. Motion by Commissioner Bailey to recommend approval of the request as presented. Commissioner Miller second. All in favor, no one opposed. Motion carried.

Agenda Item #10

VA-2022-02 Murray Staten Farms, LLC, 3550 Lake Laurie Drive, Rezone 35 acres from County P-D, C-C and O-I, to City R-P

This request has been WITHDRAWN by the applicant.

Agenda Item #11

VA-2022-03 Murray Staten Farms, LLC, 3550 Lake Laurie Drive, Annex 35 acres into the City of Valdosta

This request has been WITHDRAWN by the applicant.

There being no other business, Chairperson Rountree adjourned the meeting at 7:01 p.m.

Vicki Rountree, Chairperson

3/28/22

Greater Lowndes Planning Commission

Date