 (4) Whether the proposed rezoning will result in a use that will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools. Applicant: No significant impact is anticipated on existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools. Staff: No significant impact. (5) Whether the proposed rezoning is in conformity with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Plan. Applicant: Yes. Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the proposed rezoning. Applicant: The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No. 		
use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools. Applicant: No significant impact is anticipated on existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools. Staff: No significant impact. (5) Whether the proposed rezoning is in conformity with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Plan. Applicant: Yes. Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the proposed rezoning. The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (7) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Staff:	
 Applicant: schools. Staff: No significant impact. (5) Whether the proposed rezoning is in conformity with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Plan. Applicant: Yes. Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning. (7) Whether are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the compreties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the neutral environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. 	(4) Whether the proposed rezoning will result in a use that will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities or schools.	
 (5) Whether the proposed rezoning is in conformity with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Plan. Applicant: Yes. Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning. Applicant: The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No. 	Applicant:	
Applicant: Yes. Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning. Applicant: The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Staff:	No significant impact.
Staff: Yes. C-C zoning is consistent with the Community Activity Center (CAC) Character Area designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning. Applicant: The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. Staff: There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the more intensive uses (such as the former tobacco warehouses) and conversion of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	(5) Whether the proposed rezoning is in conformity with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Plan.	
Staff: designation of the Comprehensive Plan, and well as its Goals and Policies. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the proposed rezoning. (6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the proposed rezoning. (7) There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the onmercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Applicant:	Yes.
property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning. Applicant: The new zoning would provide a consistent use pattern with neighboring properties and services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the more intensive uses (such as the former tobacco warehouses) and conversion of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Staff:	
 Applicant: services. There are no recent redevelopment trends in the immediate area, other demolition of some of the more intensive uses (such as the former tobacco warehouses) and conversion of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No. 	(6) Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property that give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the proposed rezoning.	
Staff: the more intensive uses (such as the former tobacco warehouses) and conversion of some of the commercial properties to offices and institutional type uses such as churches and a library. (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. (7) Whether are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Applicant:	
 (7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Applicant: There are no adverse impacts anticipated Staff: No adverse impact. (8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No. 	Staff:	the more intensive uses (such as the former tobacco warehouses) and conversion of some of
Staff:No adverse impact.(8)Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public.Applicant:No.	(7) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed rezoning would result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.	
(8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public. Applicant: No.	Applicant:	There are no adverse impacts anticipated
<i>contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public.</i> <i>Applicant:</i> No.	Staff:	No adverse impact.
	(8) Whether the proposed change will constitute a grant of special privilege to the individual owner as contrasted with adjacent or nearby property owners or the general public.	
Staff: No. The proposed Rezoning would not be considered a grant of special privilege	Applicant:	No.
	Staff:	No. The proposed Rezoning would not be considered a grant of special privilege

Supplemental Standards of the LDR Applicable to the Proposed Use

Section 206-16 C-C Community Commercial District

(A) <u>Purpose</u>. The C-C District is composed primarily of low-intensity retail and commercial services generally designed to serve the common and frequent needs of the residents of nearby neighborhoods. C-C Districts should be located on collector streets where they are convenient by car and on foot to surrounding neighborhoods but will not cause excessive traffic on residential streets.

Section 218-13 Supplemental Standards of Use and Development

(V) <u>Dwelling</u>, <u>Multi-family</u>

- (1) Multi-family dwelling units shall not consist of more than 4 bedrooms.
- (2) Multi-family developments with more than 150 units must have access to a collector or arterial street as classified in the most recent GDOT Functional Classification System for the City of Valdosta.
- (3) Minimum building spacing. Buildings shall be separated by a minimum of 15 feet side to side, 40 feet front to back or front to front, and 25 feet back to back or back to side.
- (4) No building façade shall measure greater than 250 feet in length.
- (5) Architectural Standards for multi-family development:
 - (a) Building facades shall incorporate architectural modulations at intervals of no more than every 25 feet.
 - (b) Buildings must have pitched roofs with a minimum of 4:12 pitch.